

BEFORE THE  
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY  
(SBC ILLINOIS) and COMCAST PHONE  
OF ILLINOIS, LLC

No. 04-0359

Joint Petition for Approval of  
Second Amendment to the  
Interconnection Agreement dated  
March 30, 2004, pursuant to  
47 U.S.C., Section 252.

Chicago, Illinois  
June 2nd, 2004

Met pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

MR. JOHN RILEY, Administrative Law Judge.

APPEARANCES:

MR. JAMES A. HUTTENHOWER,  
225 West Randolph Street,  
Chicago, Illinois 60606,  
appeared for Illinois Bell;

MS. BRANDY D.B. BROWN,  
160 North LaSalle Street,  
Chicago, Illinois 60601,  
appeared for Commission Staff.

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by  
Teresann B. Giorgi, CSR

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

I N D E X

WITNESSES:

DIRECT

CROSS

BY EXAMINER

NONE

EXHIBITS

STAFF'S

FOR IDENTIFICATION

IN EVIDENCE

1

-----

5

1 JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of the  
2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call  
3 Docket 04-0359. This is a Joint Petition between  
4 Illinois Bell Telephone Company (SBC Illinois) and  
5 Comcast Phone of Illinois, LLC, for approval of the  
6 Second Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement,  
7 dated March 30, 2004, pursuant to 47 U.S.C., Section  
8 252.

9 Counsel for SBC, an appearance,  
10 please.

11 MR. HUTTENHOWER: James Huttenhower,  
12 H-u-t-t-e-n-h-o-w-e-r, 225 West Randolph Street,  
13 Suite 25D, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

14 JUDGE RILEY: And Staff appearances?

15 MS. BROWN: Brandy D.B. Brown, on behalf of the  
16 Illinois Commerce Commission, 160 North LaSalle,  
17 Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

18 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you. And have either of the  
19 parties been contacted or in touch with the CLEC in  
20 this matter, Comcast?

21 MS. BROWN: No.

22 MR. HUTTENHOWER: I have not.

1 JUDGE RILEY: Nothing from Staff?

2 MS. BROWN: Staff has not.

3 JUDGE RILEY: Then, we were proceed as we have  
4 convened here now. And as is customary in these  
5 matters, I turn to Staff and ask, has a verified  
6 statement been filed in this matter?

7 MS. BROWN: Yes.

8 Staff has filed, what has been marked  
9 Staff Exhibit 1, the Verified Statement of Qin Liu,  
10 stating that there is nothing discriminatory or  
11 nothing against the public interest found in this  
12 Agreement.

13 And subject to usual post approval  
14 requirements, specifically, amending the tariff to  
15 include the negotiated Agreement and filing the  
16 verified statement with the Chief Clerk of the  
17 Commission, we move for the admission of Staff  
18 Exhibit 1, the Verified Statement of Qin Liu, to the  
19 record, as it is found on the e-docket, previously  
20 filed May 10th, 2004, and bearing Tracking Number  
21 46829.

22 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you. And is there any

1 objection from SBC with regard to the admission of  
2 Staff Exhibit 1 into evidence?

3 MR. HUTTENHOWER: No there is not.

4 JUDGE RILEY: Staff Exhibit 1 is, therefore,  
5 admitted into evidence.

6 (Whereupon, Staff's Exhibit  
7 No. 1 was admitted into  
8 evidence.)

9 JUDGE RILEY: Is there anything further from  
10 Staff?

11 MS. BROWN: Nothing further.

12 JUDGE RILEY: From SBC?

13 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Nothing further.

14 JUDGE RILEY: Then, I direct the Court Reporter  
15 to mark this matter heard and taken.

16 HEARD AND TAKEN

17

18

19

20

21

22